

CHICAGO, IL 60603-6299

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,571 09/09/2003		Hitoshi Tamashiro	075834.00439	3415
33448	7590 11/28/2005		EXAMINER	
ROBERT J. DEPKE			CANNING, ANTHONY J	
LEWIS T. ST	EADMAN			
TREXLER, BUSHNELL, GLANGLORGI, BLACKSTONE & MARR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
105 WEST ADAMS STREET, SUITE 3600			2879	

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4K

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/658,571	TAMASHIRO ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Anthony J. Canning	2879			

Advisory Action	10/658,571 TAMASHIRO ET AL.		•		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anthony J. Canning	2879			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence addi			
THE REPLY FILED 05 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		<u>-</u>			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprisinally set in the final Office te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause		
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NOw);	TE below);			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the following rejection(s) 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the		
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).		
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been consideration. 		·			
because: See Continuation Sheet.	c. c. sat about to i piaco trio appri	Salari II. Goridition for			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	M		
		ashor pa Axelyray ilaq	. KIRER		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims as finally rejected do not distinguish the claimed device and method from the cited prior art.

Further, Applicant argues that the finality of the office actin was inappropriate and taught away from the claimed invention. Taniguichi teaches a groove on a sealing substrate which catches adhesive, which are commonly resins, as stated in claim 1. Regardless of its intended use, the groove is structurally the same as the one claimed in the invention. Regarding the relief portion 54, it also acts as a resevoir for catching material, structurally it can also catch resin although the intended use may be different. It has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8. Regarding the moisture absorption particles will roughen the surface by adding material to the interior of the groove. No official notice needs to be given, since it is inherent that particles on a surface "roughen" the surface because the surface is not longer flat. The claim language only states that the groove is on the sealing substrate and collects excess resin, and does not mention an inward flow of resin.